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Why Spare Parts Management for Plasma Processes?

- Any part in contact with the plasma impacts the process.

- Spare parts are a major cost driver.

- So often second-source parts are used – with sometimes different properties!

- The process stability depends in particular on large-area parts:
  - Surface temperature if not well controlled (ceramics)
  - Chemical surface conditions, in particular in case of memory effects
  - In particular if regularly cleaning during PM causes seasoning
Critical Spare Parts of an ICP etcher – Ceramic Wall

After PM

Before PM
Spare Parts Management – Different Approaches

- **Spare parts management**
  - Scheduling
  - Qualification and characterization of second source parts
  - Tracking and monitoring of age and cleaning cycles of spare parts.

- **Control:**
  - Product wafers → Message comes usually too late.
  - Test wafers → Necessary but not sufficient, not very sensitive.
  - Tool parameters → ?
  - Plasma parameters → ?
Tool and Processes

- Commercial ICP etcher used for III-V semiconductor manufacturing
- Data from one year manufacturing with logistic data
- Main focus: Impact of ceramic chamber walls from different suppliers to plasma process
- Current products still in spec, slight changes observed. Future products ??
Model-based sensor: Self Excited Electron Resonance Spectroscopy (SEERS)
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\[ R_{\text{plasmabulk}} = \frac{l}{A} \frac{v_e m_e}{q^2 n_e} \]

Model-based Sensor:
Optimal Data Compression at Tool Level

- Data compression example:
  - Raw data: 4 kB
  - Data Compression by parameter estimation in SEERS model
  - Final data: 0.12 kB

- Advantage of a real model over statistical approaches:
  
  Exact separation of noise and real information and provides so outstanding data quality and compression.
Low Pressure Process Example

- **Anisotropic etching of dielectric with low damage**
  - CF$_4$, Ar
  - Low pressure 0.7 Pa (5 mTorr)

- **Medium bias power, due to low pressure no collision in sheath**
  - Low but well defined ion energy keeps etch rate high but minimizes risk of wafer damage.

- **Critical impact: Ceramic chamber wall changes during PM**
Low pressure: Tool Parameters – RF Peak Voltages

- The most sensitive tool parameters - RF peak voltages at and does not respond to spare part changes and process adaptation.

- Collision rate is in this low pressure regime only sensitive to process adaptation – due to stochastic heating of electrons.
Low pressure: Plasma current and density

- Three different ceramic parts – same level in plasma current!
- One Second source ceramic - lower level.
- Plasma density is also but less affected fits to products parameters still in spec.
High Pressure Process Example

- **Isotropic etching with low damage, surface preparation and descum**
  - O2
  - Low pressure 11 Pa (80 mTorr)

- **Very low bias power**

  → Mainly chemical (O) etching with very low ion energy.
High pressure: Tool Parameters – RF Peak Voltages

- Vp from coil shows only a slight RF power adjustment, please compare RF power in diagram below.

- In both RF peak voltages no response to spare part change.
High pressure: Plasma current and density

- Plasma current still shows the known, 'ceramic' pattern clearly.
- Only weak pattern in plasma density.
- RF Power adjustment not seen here.
- But ...
High pressure: Plasma current and collision rate

- ... electron collision rate indicates impact of gas temperature – due to ohmic heating of electrons.
- Accommodation coefficient and so gas cooling at chamber wall depends strongly on surface conditions.
- Process pressure adaption shown only through collision rate.
Conclusions

- Process adaptation for etch rate and selectivity is best reflected in plasma parameters.

- The effects of ceramic chamber wall as spare part:
  - is well pronounced in plasma parameters and
  - depends on process, mainly the pressure.

- Potential reasons for impact of ceramic chamber wall:
  - Variations in heat flow from gas to chamber wall → Gas temperature.
  - Different permittivity of the ceramics.

- Smart spare part management can be controlled by plasma parameters provided by model-based sensors.